| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/031,740 | HOLLENBERG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Fiona T. Powers | 1626 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Fiona T. Powers*.

(2) *Gregory Hill*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *7 September 2004*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*NONE*

Claims discussed:
*42*

Prior art documents discussed:
*NONE*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*The examiner contacted Mr. Hill to inquire about the dependency of claim 42. Claim 42 is dependent on itself and it appears that it should be dependent on claim 32. Mr. Hill stated that claim 42 should be dependent on claim 32.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)